WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

Date:	5/6/04 Inspector: KY/E	· DAC	KSTVOM	^
Time:	2:30pm Weather Conditions: Part	ly sur	m 5	8°
		Yes	No	Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	54)		
-1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		\ \ \ \ \	
3.:	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		×	Week library and the second se
CCR Fu	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)((4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	ھ		A second to the second
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7,	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		1	and the state of
.8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		k	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	بخ		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		x	Mille Todha Marke (1887 - 1932) dia biblio d
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Additional Notes:	•